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PRINCIPLES OF MANAGEMENT

Garcia-Elias developed a set of 6 questions that provide a useful framework for developing stage-based treatment algorithms:

1) Is the dorsal SL ligament intact?
2) If repaired, has it good chances of healing?
3) Is the radioscaphoid angle normal?
4) Is the lunate uncovering index normal?
5) Is the misalignment easily reducible?
6) Are the joint cartilages normal all over the wrist?

By answering these questions in terms of yes or no, each case can be placed into one of seven categories (Table 3). As expected, the increasing number of negative answers indicates a progression of the dysfunction from minimal (Stage 1) to maximal (Stage 7). In general, all instabilities from the same stage will be treated similarly.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Stage 1</th>
<th>Stage 2</th>
<th>Stage 3</th>
<th>Stage 4</th>
<th>Stage 5</th>
<th>Stage 6</th>
<th>Stage 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the dorsal SL ligament intact?</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If repaired, has it good chances of healing?</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the radioscaphoid angle normal?</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the lunate uncovering index normal?</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the misalignment easily reducible?</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the joint cartilages normal?</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Treatment of Scapholunate Ligament Injury

Treatment of SLD is difficult, not always predictable, and seldom entirely satisfactory. Patient selection is very important when deciding which treatment is most appropriate. The patient’s age, occupation, recreational demands, and level of symptoms must all be considered. There are several different treatment options based on the severity of the SL ligament injury (Figure 15). Mildly symptomatic patients can be treated conservatively with wrist splinting and activity modification. Surgical treatment of scapholunate dissociation is dependent on the severity of the instability (i.e. predynamic, dynamic, or static), the chronicity of the injury, and the presence of any degenerative changes to the carpus.

**Figure 15** The SL interosseous ligament has 3 parts. The important components are the dorsal and volar aspects. This diagram considers the various treatment options: dorsal, volar or interosseous (which is through the centre of the SL articulation).

Acute Injuries

In acute injuries, arthroscopy can be used to determine the extent of scapholunate interosseous ligament injury. Partial tears may be treated by percutaneous pinning of the scaphoid and lunate, thus allowing for the possibility of primary healing or fibrosis. Open repair of acute, complete scapholunate interosseous ligament tears, maintains grip strength and wrist motion and presumably halts the progression to degenerative changes and the development of a SLAC wrist.

Predynamic (Occult) Scapholunate Dissociation

Predynamic or occult SL injury results from an incomplete tear of the SL ligament, with a normal radiographic appearance throughout the entire range of motion or under stress. Frequently there is a disruption of the palmar and proximal connections of the SL joint but not the dorsal aspect of the ligament. In the acute phase, when the healing potential of the disrupted ligaments is at its best, a percutaneous or arthroscopically guided Kirschner wire fixation is recommended. In the chronic predynamic instability, three different approaches have been proposed: (1) proprioception re-education of the flexor carpi radialis muscle, (2) arthroscopic debridement alone of the torn ligament edges, and (3) electrothermal ligament shrinkage.
Dynamic Scapholunate Dissociation

Dynamic SLDs are characterized by a complete disruption of all SL ligaments (including the dorsal ligament) and by preservation of the secondary scaphoid stabilizers (STT and RSC ligaments). In these cases, carpal malalignment in dynamic SLD only appears under specific loading conditions (e.g., clenched fist, loaded ulnar deviation). Yet, there is substantial kinematic dysfunction with inability to sustain full load in most wrist positions. If the healing potential of the injured ligaments is optimal, without retraction and correct vascularization of the ligament stumps, a direct repair of the dorsal SL ligament is performed using open or arthroscopic techniques. This is supplemented with a percutaneous SL joint fixation (Figure 16). If the dorsal ligament cannot be repaired, one alternative is to re-create the ligament by using either local tissues from adjacent ligaments or by utilizing a bone-ligament-bone autograft. Another alternative is to perform a dorsal capsulodesis, such as that more recently described by Mathoulin.

Figure 16 The Whipple technique of arthroscopic assisted stabilisation of the SL interval

Figure 17 The RASL procedure with the SL interval stabilised with a cannulated screw

Figure 18 Arthroscopic dorsal capsulodesis, with plication of the dorsal soft tissues adjacent to the SL interval
Static Reducible Scapholunate Dissociation

An SLD is considered “reducible static” when (1) the ligament rupture has not healed in the acute phase, its remnants having degenerated into a retracted, disorganized fibrous stump, precluding a strong direct repair; (2) the secondary stabilizers (DIC, STT and SC ligaments)\(^{10, 11, 33}\) have failed, and a permanent (static) malalignment has appeared; (3) carpal subluxation still is reducible; and (4) no cartilage deterioration has appeared yet. If the ligament has avulsed off the scaphoid or the lunate, ligament repair can still be performed. The repair may be augmented with a dorsal capsulodesis to compensate for the loss of the secondary stabilizers (Figure 18). Unfortunately, these repairs often remain unstable.

For this reason, many different techniques have been developed, in order to stabilize the joint and make the results more predictable. However, many techniques still fail e.g. Bone-Ligament-Bone reconstructions, pure capsulodesis techniques, tendon transpositions\(^{38, 39}\) and the so-called RASL procedures (reduction-association of the SL joint) (Figure 17)\(^{40}\). Why they fail is still unknown. Some of the studies show low statistical evidence due to low numbers.

On the other hand, several tenodesis techniques have been described in the attempt to reconstruct the forces of the secondary stabilizers\(^ {27}\) (Figures 19, 20). Some of the more recent techniques show promise, but need further review\(^ {56, 60, 61, 62}\). The results of these operations may be more predictable and may be considered as a valid solution, at this point in time, before proceeding to salvage procedures. Further research, is required to identify the best methods of repair, graft and stabilisation.

\textbf{Figure 19} The FCR tendon is passed through the scaphoid and then onto the dorsal radius to stabilises the proximal pole of the scaphoid\(^ {38, 59}\).

\textbf{Figure 20} The three-ligament tenodesis for the treatment of scapholunate dissociation\(^ {27}\).
Static Irreducible Scapholunate Dissociation (Without Arthrosis)

Chronic rupture or insufficiency of both primary and secondary SL ligament stabilizers results in the formation of fibrosis between the scaphoid and surrounding carpus. With time, subluxated joint surfaces tend to deform, making the carpal malalignment even more irreducible. These cases represent irreducible static SLD. The results of ligament repair and tenodesis are poor in this group, therefore the most frequently recommended treatment for the symptomatic, irreducible carpal malalignment secondary to an SLD is a partial wrist fusion.

Wrist Arthrosis Secondary to SLD (SLAC Wrist)

Long-standing SLDs progressively deteriorate the adjacent joint cartilages following a specific pattern of osteoarthritis, the so-called SLAC wrist. The cartilage wear initiates between the tip of the radial styloid and the distal scaphoid and progresses proximally until the entire RS joint is involved. At a later stage, the midcarpal joint may also degenerate, usually starting at the lunocapitate interval. In advanced cases, the rest of the carpus may be involved, with the exception of the radio-lunate joint, which typically is spared from this degenerative process. Options for treating SLAC wrist include: 1) Radial styloidectomy, 2) Scaphoid replacement arthroplasty, 3) Three and four corner fusion, 4) Proximal row carpectomy, 5) Wrist denervation, 6) Hemiarthroplasty, 7) Total wrist arthroplasty, and 8) Total wrist arthrodesis.

Radial styloidectomy

Radial styloidectomy is an old procedure designed to relieve pain caused by severe impaction of the tip of the radial styloid against a malpositioned distal scaphoid. When performing a radial styloidectomy, care is required to protect the dorsolateral branches of the superficial radial nerve, and not to detach the origin of the radiocarpal ligaments, as this might lead to further instability.

Scaphoidectomy and midcarpal fusion

Popularized by Watson and co-workers the SLAC procedure (scaphoid excision plus capitate-lunate-triquetrum-hamate fusion, also known as four-corner fusion) has gained wide reputation for the treatment of chronic SL dissociation. For it to be successful, however, good articular cartilage at the RL level is required. It is important to fully correct the DISI extension malalignment before fusing the midcarpal joint. Low-profile circular or square plates have been designed to avoid dorsal radiolunate impingement, but considerable concerns have been raised due to the high rate of complications and nonunions. In selected cases, fusion is only recommended to the lunocapitate joint,
particularly in ulnar-plus wrists. Cadaveric studies have suggested that by excising both the scaphoid and triquetrum there will be a better range of motion \(^{49, 52}\). A subjective and objective functional outcome study has demonstrated a better outcome for the 3 corner fusion than the 4 corner fusion \(^{46}\). The long term results of midcarpal fusion have been generally good, but attention to surgical detail is important\(^{44, 63}\).

### Proximal row carpectomy

Proximal row carpectomy is a salvage operation consisting of the complete excision of the proximal row, in order to create a neoarticulation between the capitate and lunate fossa of the radius. Most published series show proximal row carpectomy as an excellent choice, providing an excellent outcome in terms of pain relief \(^{53}\).

#### Table 4: Comparison of the outcomes of proximal row carpectomy and four corner fusion \(^{57}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Proximal row carpectomy</th>
<th>Four-corner fusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Common indications</strong></td>
<td>SLAC (stage 1 and 2)</td>
<td>SLAC (all stages)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SNAC (stage 1 and 2)</td>
<td>SNAC (all stages)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kienböck (Lichtman III, Bain 26)</td>
<td>LTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pain relief</strong></td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient satisfaction</strong></td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Range of motion (of contralateral hand)</strong></td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grip strength (of contralateral hand)</strong></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complications</strong></td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CRPS</strong></td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sepsis</strong></td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nonunion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dorsal impingement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hardware problems</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conversion to total wrist fusion</strong></td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** 4CF, four-corner fusion; CRPS, chronic regional pain syndrome; LTAC, lunotriquetral advanced collapse; OA, osteoarthritis; PRC, proximal row carpectomy; SLAC, scapholunate advanced collapse; SNAC, scaphoid nonunion advanced collapse.

### Total wrist arthroplasty

Total joint replacement of the wrist is a reasonable treatment for patients with low demand on their wrists\(^{64}\). Unfortunately, most patients with late post-traumatic instability are young and active individuals, if not heavy duty manual labourers. In such cases, a joint prosthesis may not be an acceptable choice. If the cartilage of the proximal surface of the capitate is preserved, there is the option of replacing only the proximal row by a hemiarthroplasty \(^{54}\).
Total wrist arthrodesis

Arthrodesis still is the procedure of choice in patients involved in heavy manual work. According to some sources, total pain relief can be expected in 85% of patients with 65% of them returning to their former occupations. As shown in many clinical series, most patients with total wrist fusion are able to accomplish all daily tasks by learning to compensate for the loss of wrist motion 55.

---

Figure 21 Summary figure demonstrating the various stages, and the associated treatment options.

---

Denervation has been promoted by some authors 52. Various studies have shown the benefit of this procedure especially in an arthritic wrist. Nevertheless proprioception of the wrist begins in sensory end organs located in ligaments and joint capsules (mechanoreceptors). When these mechanoreceptors are stimulated, an afferent signal causes an involuntary spinal reflex that induces a selective muscular contraction in order to protect from ligament injury. The aim of the most recent investigation in this
regard is to provide an understanding of the role of proprioception and neuromuscular control in carpal instabilities, as well as descriptions of potential clinical applications.

It has been postulated that the ligament-muscle reflexes may have a role in protecting a joint from excessive excursion and from excessive loading, which might have a protective effect on the development of posttraumatic OA. We believe that denervation procedures should be avoided in young non-arthritic wrists where the proprioceptive function may play a role. Conscious training of muscles may protect the carpus from further malalignment and subsequently protection of the SL joint is one future direction that needs to be explored.

**Conclusion**

There have been steady advances in the understanding of the anatomy, biomechanics and imaging of the wrist with scapholunate instability. However there are still significant gaps in our knowledge base for this patient population. What is a greater issue is to be able to understand the best care for the individual patient. We still struggle to determine the natural history and best treatment for each patient who presents to our clinical practice.
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